

Targeted review of NOKUT (2022) - ENQA

Recommendations, suggestions, lessons learned and followup actions

Inger-Lise Kalviknes Bore, Philipp E. Friedrich, Eva Fetscher NOQA annual meeting 2024



OVERVIEW OF JUDGEMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ESG 2.2 DESIGNING METHODOLOGIES FIT FOR PURPOSE	 The panel recommends the agency to work with the institutions to develop a digital system for assessment documentation collection, accessibility, and analysis, as the current procedures create an administrative burden.
ESG 2.3 IMPLEMENTING PROCESSES	2. The panel recommends the agency to communicate more clearly to the institutions the entire assessment process timeline and workload for Evaluation of quality in education, and to actively communicate possible changes to the originally planned timeline.
ESG 2.7 COMPLAINTS AND APPEALS	3. The review panel recommends the agency to make the complaints procedure known and easy to find within the documentation provided to the institutions.



SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER IMPROVEMENT

ESG 2.1 CONSIDERATION OF INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE	 The panel suggests the agency provide an English translation of their national legislation on QA procedures, to make their work more visible and easily understood from an international per- spective, especially in terms of the agency's 'trust-based' policy of work. This could help other countries follow their example or understand their way of working.
ESG 2.4 PEER-REVIEW EXPERTS	2. The review panel suggests the agency to enforce the support offered to students from the expert committees when preparing the written parts of the report, to strengthen their confidence as experts.
	3. The review panel suggests the agency to provide equal opportunities for students' participation in Evaluation of quality in education regardless of their year of studies.
ESG 2.7 COMPLAINTS AND APPEALS	4. The review panel suggests the agency reconsider the composition of the Appeals Panel for the new EQA activity, Evaluation of quality in education, to ensure its independence from the decision-making body, i.e., the agency's Board.

Lessons learned (...so far)

- > Strengthened inter-organizational collaboration and exchange of knowledge
- Increased awareness on ESG activities
- Targeted review can be as **much work** as a regular review
- Focus area (ESG 2.2) was very helpful
- Challenging to ensure continuity internally between reviews
- > ENQA / EQAR confusion





